**Argumentative Research Project**

Paper Requirements:

1. Papers should use double spacing with 1” margins using 12-point Times New Roman font only.
2. Include introductory and concluding paragraphs that clearly identify the issue you are researching and the main points of your essay.
3. All sources must be properly cited using MLA Style both **in the text** and in a **works cited** section so that readers can find and evaluate your sources.
4. Essay should draw on at least five different published sources.
5. Essay should be well-written, spell-checked, and proof-read.

Grading Rubric:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **Introduction** | Well-developed introductory paragraph contains a hook, a detailed background, a clear explanation or definition of the problem, and a thesis statement. | Introductory paragraph contains some background and states the problem but does not explain using details. States the thesis of the paper. | Introduction states the thesis but does not adequately explain the background of the problem. The problem is stated, but lacks detail. | Thesis and/or problem is vague or unclear. Background details are a seemingly random, unclear, or not related to the topic. |
| **Main Points** | Three or more main points are well developed with supporting evidence. | Three or more main points are present but lack development in one or two. | Three or more main points, but all lack development. | Less than three main points, with poor development of ideas. |
| **Opposing View** | Opposing view paragraph acknowledges the opposing view and summarizes the main points. | Opposing view paragraph acknowledges the opposing view but doesn’t summarize points. | Opposing view paragraph(s) missing and/or vague. | Opposing view is missing or vague. |
| **Evidence** | Supports the claim with logical reasoning and evidence. Source integration is smooth and coherent. | Supports the claim with reasoning and evidence that demonstrates some understanding. | Evidence is not relevant or the connection between the reason and the evidence are not explained. | Lacks evidence and relevance. |
| **Conclusion** | Conclusion summarizes the main topics; writer’s suggestions for change are logical and well thought out. | Conclusion summarizes main topics. Some suggestions for change are evident. | Conclusion summarizes main topics, but is repetitive. No suggestions for change and/or opinions are included. | Conclusion does not adequately summarize the main points. No suggestions for change or opinions are included. |
| **Organization** | Logical, compelling progression of ideas in essay; clear structure which enhances and showcases the central idea or theme and moves the reader through the text. Organization flows so smoothly the reader hardly thinks about it. Effective, mature, graceful transitions exist throughout the essay. | Overall, the paper is logically developed. Progression of ideas in essay makes sense and moves the reader easily through the text. Strong transitions exist throughout and add to the essay’s coherence | Progression of ideas in essay is awkward, yet moves the reader through the text without too much confusion. The writer sometimes lunges ahead too quickly or spends too much time on details that do not matter. Transitions appear sporadically, but not equally throughout the essay. | Arrangement of essay is unclear and illogical. The writing lacks a clear sense of direction. Ideas, details or events seem strung together in a loose or random fashion; there is no identifiable internal structure and readers have trouble following the writer’s line of thought. Few, forced transitions in the essay or no transitions are present. |
| **Mechanics** | Sentence structure is correct. Punctuation and capitalization are correct. | Sentence structure is generally correct. Some awkward sentences do appear. There are one or two errors in punctuation and/or capitalization. | Work contains structural weaknesses and grammatical errors. There are three or four errors in punctuation and/or capitalization. | Work contains multiple incorrect sentence structures. There are four or more errors in punctuation and/or capitalization. |
| **Works Cited** | Source material is smoothly integrated into the text. All sources are accurately documented in the desired format both in the text and on the Works Cited page. | Source material is used. All sources are accurately documented, but a few are not in the desired format. Some sources lack credibility. | Source material is used, but integration may be awkward. All sources are accurately documented, but many are not in the desired format or lack credibility. | Lacks sources and/or sources are not accurately documented. Format is incorrect for all sources. |